



ADVANCING NEW STANDARDS IN REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

Diana Taylor, PhD, RNP, Eleanor A. Drey, MD, EdM, Richard L. Fischer, MD, Meitz, PhD, MPH, Amy Levi, PhD, CNM, Molly Battistelli, Rebecca Kriz, RN, Tracy A. Weitz, PhD, MPA

Background

National patient safety tracking frameworks for identifying procedural complications (for non-abortion procedures) define adverse events by diagnoses (ICD codes), treatments (CPT codes) and outcomes which include uniform definitional criteria. Published data on first-trimester aspiration abortionrelated complication diagnoses and treatments range from 1.3% to 4.4% (+/- 1%) are based on data from nine peerreviewed papers with a combined sample size of 180,710 aspiration abortion procedures published between 1990-2009.1-8

Wide variation exists across these nine studies in 1) the confounding of immediate and delayed abortion complications; 2) the definition of major and minor complications;⁵ 3) the definition of the complication type; 4) the criteria for treatment of post-abortion pain/bleeding symptoms distinct from hemorrhage or hematometra; 5) the use of "reaspiration" as a diagnosis and a treatment; 6) the lack of diagnostic criteria that includes etiology or confirmatory data to support a particular diagnosis; and 8) lack of specificity in describing patient outcomes.

Purpose of study

The purpose of this study was to develop and evaluate a standardized method of assessing immediate and delayed abortion-related complications based on national patient safety methods for non-abortion procedures.

Methods

We are evaluating a systematic method for defining and tracking early aspiration abortion (<14 weeks) incidents as part of a larger IRB-approved study of early aspiration abortion provision outcomes. We evaluated a minimum dataset and standardized coding methods developed by expert clinician consensus and quality improvement experts reflecting ten patient diagnoses (abortion-related and non-abortion related), treatments (type/location) and outcomes for monitoring both abortion complications and non-abortion related incidents.

Standardizing early aspiration abortion complication definitions and tracking: Testing an evidence-based framework

This methodology reflects a national model of adverse event reporting elements for monitoring immediate and delayed incidents associated with abortion procedures and includes post-abortion patient tracking methods (e.g., patient calls or visits and outside provider reports). Between 2007-2010, this standardized diagnosis, treatment and outcome process was used to report incidents by 107 abortion clinicians performing first-trimester aspiration abortion procedures on 7,423 patients.

Results

Major findings from this empirically tested model:

- Differentiation of incidents by incident type:
- Abortion-related complications include cases where there is a confirmed diagnosis of incomplete abortion, failed abortion, hemorrhage/ excessive bleeding, hematometra, infection, cervical injury, uterine perforation, symptomatic intrauterine material (SIM), anesthesia-related complication, or an "other" diagnosis determined by the study's safety monitoring board to be abortion-related (Rate = 1.3%, n=96).
- Non-abortion-related incidents include concurrent medical problems which are diagnosed and/or treated at the time of the abortion procedure (e.g., ectopic or molar pregnancy, pre-existing medical condition, infection present at the time of the procedure, and bleeding or hemorrhage secondary to anemia or uterine abnormality) (Rate = 0.3%, n=20).
- System incidents include cases in which a patient self refers to a hospital but does not receive an abortion-related diagnosis or treatment (e.g. observation only) (Rate = 0.1%, n=7).
- Specification of the timing of the abortion-related complication:
- Immediate: incident occurs during the procedure, or while the patient is recovering (0.2%, n=16 immediate complications).
- Delayed: incident occurs after the patient leaves the clinic and up to 4 weeks after the procedure (1.1%, n=80 delayed, confirmed complications).
- Specification of the definition of major abortion-related complication: Defined as those "complications requiring abortion-related surgeries, transfusion or hospitalization." None have occurred.
- Standardized complication diagnoses with etiology and confirmatory criteria for
- incomplete abortion, failed abortion, hemorrhage and hematometra;

Early abortion diagnoses/treatments/outcomes (encountered vs. published rates)

Outcome	No.	Rate	Published
Aspiration abortio	n complica	itions by dia	ignosis
Successful, uncomplicated	7,327	98.7%	95.9–99.1 ^{3,4,7}
Incomplete abortion	22	0.3%	0.2-4.4% 1,2,4,5,7-9
Failed abortion (cont pg)	11	0.1%	0.4-2.3% ^{2,4-7}
Infection	10	0.1%	0.1–2.6% <mark>2-4,6-8</mark>
Post-abortal hematometra	12	0.2%	0.1-2.2% ^{1,2,7}
Uterine perforation	2	0.0%	0.2-0.7% ¹⁻⁴
Cervical injury	1	0.0%	0.0–1.1% ¹⁻⁸
Symptomatic intra-uterine material (SIM)	29	0.4%	NA
Hemorrhage >150 cc	1	0.0%	0.1-0.4% ^{1-3,7}
Anesthesia-related	0	0.0%	0.0- 0.1% ^{4,8}
Missed ectopic pregnancy	0	0.0%	0.0-0.3% ⁷
Other diagnoses	8	0.1%	N/A
Other diagnoses (not	directly re	lated to abc	ortion)
Diagnosed ectopic pregnancy	6	0.0%	0.03-0.33% ¹⁰
Diagnosed molar pregnancy	4	0.0%	0.01-0.04% ^{11,12}
Uterine abnormality	2	0.0%	NA
Other diagnosis	8	0.1%	NA
Treatments for abortion-	related diag	inoses/comp	olications
Reaspiration	57	0.8%	2 to 4.7% ^{1,6}
Repeat abortion	9	0.1%	0.036-2.3% <mark>6,7</mark>
Medications (non IV)	43	0.6%	NA
IV medications	1	0.0%	0.3 to 4.4%
Hospital or ER transfer	0	0.0%	0.07-0.1% ¹
Surgical treatment	0	0.0%	0.0-0.1%
Outcomes for abortion-r	elated diag	noses/comp	lications
Diagnosis resolved	93	98.7%	NA
Unable to complete abortion	1	0.0%	0.87% ⁷
Adverse outcome	0	0.0%	NA
Unknown outcome	2	0.0%	NA

- post-abortion pain/bleeding symptoms (SIM) distinct from hemorrhage or hematometra;
- Improved precision and specificity in the estimation of abortion-related complication diagnoses.
- The most frequently reported complications were SIM (post-abortion pain/bleeding that did not meet the criteria for hemorrhage, 0.4%, n=29), hematometra (0.2%, n=12) and incomplete abortion (0.3%, n=22).
- Clarification of reaspiration as a treatment for incomplete abortion, SIM (patient or provider preference), or hematometra.

With
relate
comp
proce
This
tion o
evide
ness
patie
prima

References

- S76-83.

- 87-92.

Conclusion

i improved precision and specificity in defining abortioned incidents by diagnosis, treatment and outcomes, all plication rates associated with early aspiration abortion edures performed were lower than published rates¹⁻⁸. systematic framework for defining and tracking aborcomplications across the continuum of care will allow ence-based comparisons with national clinical effectives assessment standards for other common, low-risk, outent procedures and can be used in all settings including primary care sites.

¹Goldberg, A.B., et al., *Manual versus electric vacuum aspiration for early first*trimester abortion: a controlled study of complication rates. Obstet Gynecol, 2004. 103(1): p. 101-7.

²Goldman, M.B., et al., *Physician assistants as providers of surgically induced* abortion services. American Journal of Public Health, 2004. 94(8): p. 1352-7.

³Hakim-Elahi, E., H.M. Tovell, and M.S. Burnhill, *Complications of first*trimester abortion: a report of 170,000 cases. Obstet Gynecol, 1990. 76(1): p. 129-35.

⁴Westfall, J.M., et al., *Manual vacuum aspiration for first-trimester abortion.* Arch Fam Med, 1998. 7(6): p. 559-62.

⁵Macisaac, L. and P. Darney, *Early surgical abortion: an alternative to and* backup for medical abortion. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2000. 183(2 Suppl): p.

⁶Paul, M.E., et al., *Early surgical abortion: efficacy and safety.* Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2002. 187(2): p. 407-11.

⁷Bennett, I.M., et al., *Early abortion in family medicine: clinical outcomes.* Ann Fam Med, 2009. 7(6): p. 527-33.

⁸Warriner, I.K., et al., *Rates of complication in first-trimester manual vacuum* aspiration abortion done by doctors and mid-level providers in South Africa and Vietnam: a randomised controlled equivalence trial. Lancet, 2006. 368(9551): p. 1965-72.

⁹Healing Arts and Institutions, Sec 2 Definitions, in West's California Jurisprudence 3d. 2007, Thomson West: Danvers, MA. p. 205.

¹⁰Lehner, R., et al., *Ectopic pregnancy.* Arch Gynecol Obstet, 2000. 263(3): p.

¹¹Wulff, G.J., Jr. and S.M. Freiman, *Elective abortion. Complications seen in a* free-standing clinic. Obstet Gynecol, 1977. 49(3): p. 351-7.

¹²Kiel, F.W., The medical value of examining tissue from therapeutic abortions: an analysis of 13,477 cases. Br J Obstet Gynaecol, 1986. 93(6): p. 594-6.